

Is Obama More Dishonest Than Nixon, Reagan, And G.W. Bush?

Presidential Dishonesty



My posting of the question, "Is Obama the most dishonest president in history?" on Facebook provoked the following question from someone I respect: "More dishonest than Richard Nixon? Ronald Reagan and his Iran-Contra debacle? George W, and the lies about WMDs?" After giving a necessarily short answer on Facebook due to space limitations, I am posting more information here.

Nixon's mistake was to stonewall an investigation of something he did not create, implement, or manage. Many books have been written on this so I would have to spend a considerable amount of time to explain fully Nixon's part in Watergate. For now, I will only say it is my understanding that there is no hard evidence to indicate that President Nixon had any prior knowledge of the break in. It seems he was not only surprised when he learned about it but that he wondered who could have been so stupid to have done such a foolish thing. Also it is clear that he was seriously misled by his Legal Counsel, John Dean, whose self-serving misdirection was instrumental in Nixon's ill-advised cover up. Then there were the infamous tapes. As one leading Democrat at the time said, "He should

have destroyed the tapes.” (paraphrased) However, unlike Obama, one cannot assemble a long list of Nixon lies because they, apparently, do not exist.

Reagan was troubled by one sticky incident. Most politicians would be thrilled with such scarcity. With that duly noted, after multiple investigations, Reagan was essentially cleared of any foreknowledge of the Iran-Contra adventure. He also appeared at least twice on national TV to take full personal responsibility and to apologize for the operation—a rare event in U.S. Politics.

George W, and the lies about WMDs: That “W” lied about WMD is nothing more than a persistent Liberal myth. I can only think that some who perpetuated the myth are lying themselves while others are simply ignorant of the facts. There is a huge, highly credible amount of evidence to support this. There is also a simple explanation: All major intelligence agencies around the world, including our own CIA, believed that Saddam Hussein still had stockpiles of WMD and that he intended to use them against the U.S.A. Notice I said “still” because everyone knew he had them and used them on his own people. So Bush proceeded with the best intelligence available and with the approval of virtually all the Democrats in Congress. If he had not acted on the intelligence and Hussein did use them on us, Bush would most certainly have been widely condemned and, perhaps, even impeached.

With Iraq and other major military matters regarding war, Bush always took his case to the Congress for approval while Obama has repeatedly chosen to ignore Congress and proceed on his own. If Bush had done the same, he would have been crucified by the media and the Democrats. Hypocrisy is rampant on the Left.

For the record ...

Please read the following quotes and then answer the question

at the end:

STATEMENTS ON IRAQ WMD – Part 1

Bush and Republican statements on the existence of Iraq WMD

“One way or the other, we are determined to deny Iraq the capacity to develop weapons of mass destruction and the missiles to deliver them. That is our bottom line.”

“If Saddam rejects peace and we have to use force, our purpose is clear. We want to seriously diminish the threat posed by Iraq’s weapons of mass destruction program.”

“Iraq is a long way from [here], but what happens there matters a great deal here. For the risks that the leaders of a rogue state will use nuclear, chemical or biological weapons against us or our allies is the greatest security threat we face.”

“He will use those weapons of mass destruction again, as he has ten times since 1983.”

“We urge you, after consulting with Congress, and consistent with the U.S. Constitution and laws, to take necessary actions (including, if appropriate, air and missile strikes on suspect Iraqi sites) to respond effectively to the threat posed by Iraq’s refusal to end its weapons of mass destruction programs.”

“Saddam Hussein has been engaged in the development of weapons of mass destruction technology which is a threat to countries in the region and he has made a mockery of the weapons inspection process.”

“Hussein has... chosen to spend his money on building weapons of mass destruction and palaces for his cronies.”

“There is no doubt that... Saddam Hussein has invigorated his weapons programs. Reports indicate that biological, chemical and nuclear programs continue apace and may be back to pre-Gulf War status. In addition, Saddam continues to redefine delivery systems and is doubtless using the cover of a licit missile program to develop longer-range missiles that will threaten the United States and our allies.”

“We begin with the common belief that Saddam Hussein is a tyrant and a threat to the peace and stability of the region. He has ignored the mandated of the United Nations and is building weapons of mass destruction and the means of delivering them.”

“We know that he has stored secret supplies of biological and chemical weapons throughout his country.”

“Iraq’s search for weapons of mass destruction has proven impossible to deter and we should assume that it will continue for as long as Saddam is in power.”

“We have known for many years that Saddam Hussein is seeking and developing weapons of mass destruction.”

“The last UN weapons inspectors left Iraq in October of 1998. We are confident that Saddam Hussein retains some stockpiles of chemical and biological weapons, and that he has since embarked on a crash course to build up his chemical and biological warfare capabilities. Intelligence reports indicate that he is seeking nuclear weapons...”

“I will be voting to give the President of the United States the authority to use force – if necessary – to disarm Saddam Hussein because I believe that a deadly arsenal of weapons of mass destruction in his hands is a real and grave threat to our security.”

“There is unmistakable evidence that Saddam Hussein is working aggressively to develop nuclear weapons and will likely have nuclear weapons within the next five years... We also should remember we have always underestimated the progress Saddam has made in development of weapons of mass destruction.”

“He has systematically violated, over the course of the past 11 years, every significant UN resolution that has demanded that he disarm and destroy his chemical and biological weapons, and any nuclear capacity. This he has refused to do”

“In the four years since the inspectors left, intelligence reports show that Saddam Hussein has worked to rebuild his chemical and biological weapons stock, his missile delivery capability, and his nuclear program. He has also given aid, comfort, and sanctuary to terrorists, including al Qaeda members .. It is clear, however, that if left unchecked, Saddam Hussein will continue to increase his capacity to wage biological and chemical warfare, and will keep trying to develop nuclear weapons.”

“We are in possession of what I think to be compelling evidence that Saddam Hussein has, and has had for a number of years, a developing capacity for the production and storage of weapons of mass destruction.”

“Without question, we need to disarm Saddam Hussein. He is a brutal, murderous dictator, leading an oppressive regime. He presents a particularly grievous threat because he is so consistently prone to miscalculation. And now he is miscalculating America’s response to his continued deceit and his consistent grasp for weapons of mass destruction. So the threat of Saddam Hussein with weapons of mass destruction is real.”

First, mentally, answer the question: “Do you believe the statements you just read were made to deceive people into thinking that Saddam Hussein had WMD when, in truth, he did

not?”

And then click here.

The Obama War On Women

The ~~Romney~~ Obama War On Women

Women are smart, Mr. President. Watch out!

HOSTILE WORKPLACE The War On Women Within The Obama White House

Former Communications Director Anita Dunn:
“It actually fit all of the classic legal requirements for a genuinely hostile workplace to women.”
(via Nikki Hirdeman, “Stuck Women In Obama White House Felt Excluded And Ignored,” The Washington Post, 5/15/13)

Former Economic Advisor Christina Romer:
“I felt like a piece of meat.”
(via Nikki Hirdeman, “Stuck Women In Obama White House Felt Excluded And Ignored,” The Washington Post, 5/15/13)

Former Communications Director Anita Dunn:
“This place would be in court for a hostile workplace.”
(via Nikki Hirdeman, “Stuck Women In Obama White House Felt Excluded And Ignored,” The Washington Post, 5/15/13)

Unnamed high-ranking female official:
“The president has a real woman problem.”
(via Nikki Hirdeman, “Stuck Women In Obama White House Felt Excluded And Ignored,” The Washington Post, 5/15/13)

The Washington Free Beacon:
“Obama White House Pays Women Less Than Men, Records Show”
(via Nikki Hirdeman, “Stuck Women In Obama White House Felt Excluded And Ignored,” The Washington Post, 5/15/13)

Time:
“... Obama himself is responsible for a work atmosphere that marginalizes and ignores women.”
(via Nikki Hirdeman, “Stuck Women In Obama White House Felt Excluded And Ignored,” The Washington Post, 5/15/13)

Time:
“Even when women are in the room with Obama, they are sometimes seen but not heard.”
(via Nikki Hirdeman, “Stuck Women In Obama White House Felt Excluded And Ignored,” The Washington Post, 5/15/13)

Time:
“... Obama himself is responsible for a work atmosphere that marginalizes and ignores women.”
(via Nikki Hirdeman, “Stuck Women In Obama White House Felt Excluded And Ignored,” The Washington Post, 5/15/13)

92.3 percent of jobs lost under Obama were lost by women!

While Hypocrite-In-Chief Obama fabricates one false charge after another against Mitt Romney, he and the Obama Media hide the devastating consequences Obama's policies have had on American women. According to data from the Bureau of Labor Statistics, between January 2009, when Obama took office, and March 2012, there has been a net decline of 740,000 jobs for both men and women. But (it's a big BUT) among women there has been a net loss of 683,000 jobs. So 92.3 percent of the jobs lost under Obama were lost by women! Whoa, wait a minute, that can't be. Obama loves women. He gives them all kinds of free stuff for goodness sake. I mean you don't give free stuff to someone unless you love them. Right? Or unless you want them to vote for you. Hmm, yeah, there's that.

The truth is, Obama's economic flimflam has wreaked havoc on both men and women but it has taken a monumentally disproportionate hit on women. I repeat: 92.3 percent of the jobs lost under Obama have been lost by women! That means only 7.7 percent were lost by men. You can play with the numbers until your head bursts but it won't change the facts. So did Obama sit down behind his big president desk one day and figure out how to hurt women more than men? I certainly hope not. No, it's just that his policies happened to hurt women much more than men. But no matter what he had in mind, the net result is that women have suffered enormously under Obama and there is no relief in sight. It's no wonder women can't afford to pay for their own birth control pills!

“Romney's War On Women”

Now, let's take a look at “Romney's War On Women.” Oh, we have a little problem: There is none. Come on all you Liberal hard heads, just for a few short minutes I want you to take a deep breath, imagine that Barack Obama is not the Messiah, and use

some good old-fashion common sense. Why in the world would Mitt Romney declare a war on women? Come on now. You can do this. It is absurd! It is just as absurd as all the other bogus charges Democrats have made against Mitt Romney. (That he was responsible for a woman's death for just one example.) Does Romney hold some positions that you don't share? Of course he does. And Obama holds some positions that millions of other Americans don't share. That is why we have elections. That is how we do things in America. But what we should not do is make up lies about whoever we disagree with in order to destroy their character for the sake of winning an election. You know, like Obama does.

Romney is Pro-Life. He also supports the de-funding of federal taxpayer money to Planned Parenthood, and government mandated free contraception for women. He doesn't think it's right that taxpayers who oppose abortion should have to pay for abortions. Nor does he think it is right that people who oppose the use of contraception should have to pay for others to use it. We should all take personal responsibility for our choices. That philosophy has made the United States the most successful country in human history and if we lose it, we will surely fail.

While you have every right to disagree with Romney's beliefs, you do not have the right to impose your beliefs on others. Again, that is why we have elections. Furthermore, to disagree with a candidate's position on an issue should not give anyone a license to mount unfair, dishonest, vicious attacks on that candidate.

Obama the baby killer?

What if Romney called Obama a baby killer for his position on late-term abortions? As an Illinois State Senator, Obama voted repeatedly to defeat a law that would have saved the lives of babies born alive during botched abortions. He did so in spite of at least one case in which a live baby was unceremoniously

placed on a towel and allowed to die while distraught nurses in the delivery room were prevented by law from administering life-saving procedures. Of course my point is that even in that factually-based issue, Romney has refrained from exploiting it. Yet Obama never misses an opportunity to viciously attack Romney with wholly fabricated charges. It is despicable.

The Obama Administration Mistreats Women: Wage disparity and much more ...

Now, let's look at a few more examples of the Obama War On Women. Records show that female employees in the Obama White House make considerably less than their male colleagues. What? Where do I get this stuff? Glad I asked. According to the 2011 annual report on White House staff, female employees earned a median annual salary of \$60,000, which was about 18 percent less than the median salary for male employees, which was \$71,000. Oh me and my facts. Yeah, I know. But it gets worse. Even though Obama White House women earn considerably less than their male counterparts, the Obama campaign trashed Mitt Romney for his failure to immediately endorse the Lilly Ledbetter Fair Pay Restoration Act, which extended the time in which women could file lawsuits against employers that discriminate against women on equal pay. To be perfectly clear for all you Liberal diehards: Romney is for (NOT AGAINST) equal pay for women. But the real question is: Did any female employees at the White House file lawsuits under the Ledbetter Act, and if not, why not?

There's more

Former Obama Economic Advisor, Christina Romer, "I felt like a piece of meat." (working in the Obama White House")

"Even when women are in the room with Obama, they are sometimes seen but not heard." Time Magazine

"... Obama himself is responsible for a work atmosphere that marginalizes and ignores women." Time Magazine

"The president has a real woman problem." Reported in book by Nia-Malika Henderson, Women In Obama White House Felt Excluded And Ignored

Alright, if you insist, I'll throw in one more: Former Obama White House Communications Director, Anita Dunn, described attitudes in the White House as fitting

"... all of the classic legal requirements for a genuinely hostile workplace to women." – and "This place would be in court for a hostile workplace."

So how is Obama doing with the female vote? He is not doing great with married women and women with children but he is winning with young single women. But let's be clear: Women are smart and if the media starts to do their job and they tell the truth, even the young women will change their minds about Barack Obama. After all, he is hurting them right now and under his policies, their future's don't look bright at all. The majority of married women and mothers have already figured it out and it is just a matter of time before the young single women do the same—at least for their sake, I hope so.



It's a hard pill to swallow

There, there. I know this has given you Liberals a migraine. It is because your brains are overloading trying to compute this horribly dissonant information. Not to worry. There is a cure. As foreign as it may sound to you, it is really quite simple: Just open your minds to new, honest information. One of our presidents rightfully cautioned that, "The nine scariest words are, 'I'm from the government and I'm here to help.'" Thomas Jefferson said, "A government big enough to give you everything you want, is strong enough to take everything you have."

While President Obama tells you that he is your guardian angel, his treatment of women in his own administration is deplorable and his economic policies have wreaked havoc on women in particular. Those are incontrovertible facts. You are being conned! Barack Obama is not in love with women, he is in love with the female vote. Ignore the facts at your own peril.

Personal responsibility is at the heart of American greatness

Learn to respect the rights of other people with whom you disagree. Accept the idea that you cannot always get your way and that other American citizens should not have to pay for free stuff for which you, yourselves, as adults, should be responsible. They aren't asking you to pay for their stuff so don't ask them to pay for your stuff.

To Liberals: You have read the facts. Now it's time to take action. Call the White House, your congressional representatives, and the Obama Media and demand that President Obama end his War On Women. No, I will not hold my breath, but thank you for asking.

Obama Lies About The Republican Congress

36 Bills Passed By The House And Stuck In The Democratically Controlled Senate

President Obama continues to lie about the Republican Congress. His repeated charge that the Republicans in the House of Representatives are a "do nothing Congress" is a blatant lie. Below is a documented list of 36 bills passed by the House that are stuck in the

Senate because Harry Reid, the Democrat Majority Leader will not allow them to be brought to the floor for a vote.

"If you tell a lie big enough and keep repeating it, people will eventually come to believe it."

-- Dr . Joseph Goebbels



The "do-nothings" are Obama's own party in the Senate

It gets even worse: Not only does President Obama lie to the American public about the Republican House, he fails to tell us that it is his own party that is holding up the work of the Republicans. While Obama lies about the Republican Congress, which has done its job—including passing a budget each year—the Senate has not passed a budget in almost four years

even though the Constitution requires it to do so.

The below bills were passed by the House as a way to help create jobs in America at a time when unemployment has been above 8% for more than 30 consecutive months and 23 million Americans still don't have jobs. Unfortunately these bills are stalled in the Democratically-controlled United States Senate.

Review of Federal Regulations

H.Res. 72 – Passed by the House (391-28) on February 11, 2011

Reducing Regulatory Burdens Act

H.R. 872 – Senate has taken no action to date

Energy Tax Prevention Act

H.R. 910 – Senate has taken no action to date

Disapproval of FCC's Net Neutrality Regulations

H.J.Res. 37 – Senate has blocked a companion measure by a vote of 46-52

Clean Water Cooperative Federalism Act

H.R. 2018 – Senate has taken no action to date

Consumer Financial Protection & Soundness Improvement Act

H.R. 1315 – Senate has taken no action to date

Protecting Jobs from Government Interference Act

H.R. 2587 – Senate has taken no action to date

Transparency in Regulatory Analysis of Impacts on The Nation

H.R. 2401 – Senate has taken no action to date

Cement Sector Regulatory Relief Act

H.R. 2681 – Senate has taken no action to date

EPA Regulatory Relief Act

H.R. 2250 – Senate has taken no action to date

Coal Residuals Reuse and Management Act

H.R. 2273 – Senate has taken no action to date

Workforce Democracy and Fairness Act

H.R. 3094 – Senate has taken no action to date

Regulatory Accountability Act

H.R. 3010 – Senate has taken no action to date

Regulatory Flexibility Improvements Act

H.R. 527 – Senate has taken no action to date

REINS Act

H.R. 10 – Senate has taken no action to date

Farm Dust Regulation Prevention Act

H.R. 1633 – Senate has taken no action to date

Small Business Paperwork Mandate Elimination Act

H.R. 4 – Signed into law by the President on April 14, 2011

3% Withholding Rule Repeal

H.R. 674 – Signed into law by the President on November 21, 2011

Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act

H.R. 3630 – Senate has taken no action to date

U.S.-Colombia Trade Promotion Agreement Implementation Act

H.R. 3078 – Signed by the President on October 21, 2011

U.S.-Panama Trade Promotion Agreement Implementation Act

H.R. 3079 – Signed by the President on October 21, 2011

U.S.-Korea Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act

H.R. 3080 – Signed by the President on October 21, 2011

Southeast Arizona Resource Utilization & Conservation Act

H.R. 1904 – Senate has taken no action to date

The America Invents Act

H.R. 1249 – Signed into law by the President on September 16,

2011

Veterans Opportunity to Work Act

H.R. 2433 – Signed into law by the President on November 21, 2011

Small Company Capital Formation Act

H.R. 1070 – Senate has taken no action to date

Small Banks' Access to Capital Act

H.R. 1965 – Senate has taken no action to date

Entrepreneur Access to Capital Act

H.R. 2930 – Senate has taken no action to date

Access to Capital for Job Creators Act

H.R. 2940 – Senate has taken no action to date

Fairness for High-Skilled Immigrants Act

H.R. 3012 – Senate has taken no action to date

Restarting American Offshore Leasing Now Act

H.R. 1230 – Senate has taken no action to date

Putting the Gulf of Mexico Back to Work Act

H.R. 1229 – Senate has taken no action to date

Reversing President Obama's Offshore Moratorium Act

H.R. 1231 – Senate has taken no action to date

Jobs and Energy Permitting Act of 2011

H.R. 2021 – Senate has taken no action to date

North American-Made Energy Security Act

H.R. 1938 – Senate has taken no action to date

Budget for Fiscal Year 2012

H.Con.Res. 34 – Senate has not yet considered a budget of its own

Columbia Classmate Challenges Obama

He has some ideas about Obama's hidden school records



When a Columbia classmate challenges Obama, it is worth a read.

Wayne Allyn Root, a former classmate of Barack Obama at Columbia University, Class of '83, has his own ideas about why Obama has spent millions to hide his Columbia records from prying eyes. Root, one of Las Vegas' star oddsmakers, says:

I smell something rotten in Denmark. Obama has a big skeleton in his closet. It's his college records. Call it "gut instinct" but my gut is almost always right. Obama has a secret hidden at Columbia- and it's a bad one that threatens to bring down his presidency. Gut instinct is how I've made my living for 29 years since graduating Columbia.

Root is well aware of how the nasty Obama-Axelrod style Chicago politics works. He says,

Team Obama has decided to distract America's voters by condemning Mitt Romney for not releasing enough years of his

tax returns. It's the perfect cover. Obama knows the best defense is a bold offense. Just keep attacking Mitt and blaming him for secrecy and evasion, while accusing him of having a scandal that doesn't exist. Then ask followers like Senator Harry Reid to chase the lead. The U.S. Senate Majority Leader appears to now be making up stories out of thin air, about tax returns he knows nothing about. It's a cynical, brilliant, and vicious strategy. Make Romney defend, so he can't attack the real Obama scandal.

Of course this is the same strategy-of-personal-destruction that Obama has used to win virtually all his elections. It's simple: He slanders his opponents through insinuations and leaks designed to ruin their reputation and character. At the same time, they are so busy defending all the bogus lies Obama throws at them, they have little time to press Obama for the real issues like his sealed school and health records.

As this is being written, Obama continues to push Romney to release more tax records, thereby insinuating that Romney has something to hide. Yet Obama himself has been hiding massive amounts of important information for years. Then Obama henchmen like U.S. Senate Majority Leader, Harry Reid, and the ever-willing Obama Media, step up the attacks on the bogus Romney Tax issue while ignoring Obama's much more serious issue of his sealed records.

Root says,

My answer for Romney? Call Obama's bluff. Romney should call a press conference and issue a challenge in front of the nation. He should agree to release more of his tax returns, only if Obama unseals his college records. Simple and straight-forward. Mitt should ask "What could possibly be so embarrassing in your college records from 29 years ago that you are afraid to let America's voters see? If it's THAT bad, maybe it's something the voters ought to see." Suddenly the

tables are turned. Now Obama is on the defensive.

Root guesses that Obama will never release his records because they would destroy his chances of re-election. He predicts,

Once this challenge is made public, my prediction is you'll never hear about Mitt's tax returns ever again.

Root adds that not only did he not know Obama at Columbia, but he didn't know anyone else who knew him.

If anyone should have questions about Obama's record at Columbia University, it's me. We both graduated (according to Obama) Columbia University, Class of '83. We were both (according to Obama) Pre-Law and Political Science majors. And I thought I knew most everyone at Columbia. I certainly thought I'd heard of all of my fellow Political Science majors. But not Obama (or as he was known then- Barry Soetoro). I never met him. Never saw him. Never even heard of him. And none of the classmates that I knew at Columbia have ever met him, saw him, or heard of him.

The Wall Street Journal reported in 2008 that *Fox News* randomly called 400 of Root's Columbia classmates and never found one who had ever met Obama.

After all these years, the media continues to ignore the very large question: Why would Barack Obama spend millions of dollars to hide something from us? But perhaps the larger question is: Why do we the people let Obama and the media get away with it?

It gets worse. Obama has not only sealed his Columbia records—he has sealed all his records all the way back to his high school days in Hawaii and his stint at Occidental College!

Of course other questions logically flow from the huge cloak of secrecy Obama has wrapped around his past. For example: Is he hiding his school records because of poor grades? If so, how did he manage to get into an Ivy League school? If his Columbia grades were poor, how did he get into Harvard? – Yes, those records are sealed too. How did he pay for his costly schooling? If he did it with scholarships, he would have needed good grades.

Wayne Allyn Root has some ideas:

“Here’s my gut belief: Obama got a leg up by being admitted to both Occidental and Columbia as a foreign exchange student. He was raised as a young boy in Indonesia. But did his mother ever change him back to a U.S. citizen? When he returned to live with his grandparents in Hawaii or as he neared college-age preparing to apply to schools, did he ever change his citizenship back? I’m betting not.

If you could unseal Obama’s Columbia University records I believe you’d find that:

A) He rarely ever attended class.

B) His grades were not those typical of what we understand it takes to get into Harvard Law School.

C) He attended Columbia as a foreign exchange student.

D) He paid little for either undergraduate college or Harvard Law School because of foreign aid and scholarships given to poor foreign students like this kid Barry Soetoro from Indonesia.

If you think I’m “fishing” then prove me wrong. Open up your records Mr. President. What are you afraid of?

If it’s okay for U.S. Senate Majority Leader Harry Reid to go on a fishing expedition about Romney’s taxes (even though he knows absolutely nothing about them, nor will he release his

own), then I think I can do the same thing. But as Obama's Columbia Class of '83 classmate, at least I have more standing to make educated guesses.

It's time for Mitt to go on the attack and call Obama's bluff."

I'm with you, Mr. Root. It's time "Mr. Transparency" comes clean and it's way past the time for the corrupt Obama Media to clean up their act and start serving the American people and the interests of their nation.

NOTE: Obama supporters like to point out that, technically, the school records are not "sealed." Apparently no court of law has officially sealed them. Of course this does not change the fact that the records are still confidential and unavailable to the public. Also, according to one source Mr. Obama did release a summary of his medical records in which his personal physician "... pronounced him to be in 'excellent health ...'"