Donald Trump or Hillary Clinton?

Need you ask? Really?



She can't help herself. Lying is simply a part of who she is.

I just watched a bunch of Hollywood celebs trashing Donald Trump with outrageously false statements about him. These are the same people who told us how great Barack Obama was and to get out there and vote for him. Eight years later we have:

- A seriously more dangerous world: Because of Obama/Clinton policies Russia and China are expanding their influence throughout the world without restraint, ISIS, which Obama called a "junior varsity" operation, is now in over 30 countries, and radical Islamist terrorism has been unleashed around the globe.
- A staggering national debt Obama doubled it adding more debt than all previous presidents combined. Every man, woman, and child now owes over \$60,000 as their portion of our debt.
- An economy headed for a major collapse: 1) We now have 48 million Americans on food stamps. 2) A record level of Americans below the poverty level. 3) The lowest

labor participation rate in decades. 4) The cost of living is up while worker wages are down. 5) 70,000 factories have closed since President Bill Clinton signed NAFTA into law.

- Our southern border continues to be dangerously porous and our immigration laws continue to be ignored.
- Obamacare is a monumental disaster and if left in place it will destroy our economy.
- Law and order is collapsing. Our police are being assassinated and more people are being murdered in our inner cities than U.S. troop loses in the Middle East.
- Our citizens are divided due to Obama/Clinton identity politics in which they pit groups of Americans against each other in order to get votes.

These actors are people who make their living by pretending to be someone or something they are not. They don't know the first thing about how our economy works, how our constitutional republic works, or for that matter, how the world works. If I had them on a debate stage it would take me about five minutes to expose them as the naive, vacuous, ignorant, intellectual midgets they are. But of course that will never happen because they never allow themselves to be questioned by anyone.

About 70% of Americans believe that our country is headed in the wrong direction, and no wonder; by all honest and fair standards Obama's presidency has seriously weakened our country on all fronts — the economy, national security, governmental corruption, and much more. This is not hyperbole; it is based on hard facts. So why would anyone want to continue the same destructive policies for another four years? Yet this is exactly what we will get if Hillary Clinton is elected. In fact, it will get worse. Not only does she want open borders (she said it is her dream) but she also wants to stack the Supreme Court with justices who will actively promote liberal/socialist policies even more extensive than

the ones that are destroying our country right now.

Hillary Clinton's campaign is orchestrated, contrived; it is what the Clintons always do; it is their MO. This is why she avoided honest, open press conferences for almost an entire year. Her campaign is a tightly controlled production. The result is that we rarely get to see who she really is. But when we do it can be extraordinarily revealing. For example, she trashed millions of Americans by calling them deplorable and unredeemable. As though that was not enough she added words like racists, xenophobes, and other undeserved malicious labels. Does she really expect to heal the country and bring us together this way? Of course that is a rhetorical question. Her intention; her unerring passion and goal is to become the first female president of the United States of America. It's not about us, we the people, it's about her and it always has been. She has lied to us for her entire adult life. We literally cannot trust a word she utters. She is also dangerously corrupt. In order to hide her emails from us she used a private server, which was against the law, and when she was caught she did everything in her power to delete them from her server even after she was ordered to preserve them. Yet they were not her emails in the first place; they were ours; they belonged to us!

The FBI called her behavior "extremely careless" and has confirmed that there is a 99% probability that her personal server was hacked by at least 5 outside entities. This means that our enemies most likely got hundreds of highly classified U.S. secrets! They also confirmed that she lied repeatedly to us and to Congress. In addition, she and her husband, Bill Clinton, are still under criminal investigation for their Foundation work. But as though that is not enough to disqualify her, WikiLeaks has revealed massive corruption within The Democratic Party, the media, and of course the Clintons. This is unprecedented in US history. Never before have we had such a dishonest and corrupt candidate for the

presidency!

Yes, we have two imperfect candidates, but one is far more dangerous than the other. Hillary Clinton will not only continue the disastrous policies of Barack Obama, she will double down on them. To think otherwise is extraordinarily naïve and perhaps ignorant.


I don't know if Donald Trump can accomplish all that he says he wants to do. But what he says he wants to do are things that we must do if we are to survive as the greatest nation in world history. Yes, I'm voting for Trump. And all things considered, it is an easy choice.

By MacPundit

Jonathan Gruber

Jonathan Gruber says they had to lie to the stupid American

voters.



Jonathan Holmes Gruber is a professor of economics at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT). He is also the director of the Health Care Program at the National Bureau of Economic Research. Despite what some Democrats would now like you to believe, he was a key architect of the Affordable Care Act, also known as "Obamacare." But who he was and who he is now are two entirely different things. Now, because of his unprecedented revelations, he is persona non grata to the Obama Administration and the Democratic Party.

So what has he done to create such a furor? In video 1 we can watch Professor Gruber himself for that answer. Then be sure to watch what Charles Krauthammer had to say about it in video 2.

0/0

This is just one of many revealing Jonathan Gruber videos and, frankly, I can't think of any good reason to show anymore of them. Personally, I can hardly stomach this one. It's not that anything he said is new news; millions of Americans already know that the process to get Obamacare passed was perhaps the most corrupt of any major legislation in U.S. History. And let's not forget that President Obama's absolute promise that "If you like your healthcare plan you can keep it." — was a blatant lie, which he repeated almost 40 times!

But what *is* new is that Professor Gruber said it at all—and then repeated it multiple times while being videotaped. You know, I am tempted to say that he made an unforgivable political mistake by inadvertently telling the truth, but I think that is incorrect. I think he thinks he is the smartest head in the game and he simply loves to tell the world how he fleeced everyone for their own good. But then deception and

arrogance are common traits among our current gang of Washington Liberals. And it all trickles down from the top—Mr. Hubris himself, Barack Obama.

President Obama, members of his administration, and his political operatives are a pack of shameful liars. That is not a matter of opinion, it is indeed a fact. It is well documented on this website and elsewhere. Jonathan Gruber's recently exposed revelations are simply one more confirmation of that fact. As Jonathan Gruber so brutally made clear, they had to lie to us about the true nature of Obamacare in order to get it passed because we the people are too stupid to understand what's good for us. As though that weren't enough, in one of his videos Professor Gruber also said that Americans are too dumb to understand economics. To that, I refer you to this article: Liberal Ignorance—Economics. Believe me, it's worth a read.

Listen, dear people, Obama and his legion of leftwing misfits have failed. Please pardon my all too obvious cliché, but the fat lady has sung and they have not yet heard her. Indeed, they may never hear her because they seem to have three mouths, no ears, and pre-programmed brains.

A few weeks ago, on November 4, we the people—the very same people that the professor called stupid—made some very big political changes. We told liberal Democrats to get out of our capitols and go home—and we would have sent the president home too if we could have. We told our president, the majority leader of the Senate, state governors, and state legislatures all across the nation that we don't like their kind of big government. Who's stupid now?

Romney Predictions Were Right!

By MacPundit



Obama's were wrong.

It seems like everyone is taking another look at Mitt Romney. His popularity is soaring even among many who voted for him only because they were more certain that Obama was the wrong guy than they were that Romney was the right guy.

So what is this all about? Well, it turns out that Mitt is something of a prophet while Barack still doesn't have a clue. You see, some important Romney predictions and recommendations that were roundly ridiculed by President Obama have since come true—and the recommendations turned out to be very good ones.

Obama's liberal supporters laughed at any and all Romney predictions and the so-called mainstream media joined in by derisively mocking Romney every chance they got. In case you're wondering, they are all stone-dead silent on Romney these days.

Here are some of the Romney predictions and recommendations and what has happened since then:

He said that the way Obamacare was designed it would have a negative impact on millions of Americans. Do we really need a detailed account of how destructive it has been so far? Millions have lost the healthcare plans they liked even though President Obama promised that they would be able to keep them.

He predicted that if we pulled all of our troops out of Iraq prematurely, the country would be taken over by terrorists. President Obama dismissed this idea and after he had removed every single one of our troops, he bragged that he had ended the war in Iraq. Incredibly, Vice-President Joe Biden claimed that the stability of Iraq would prove to be one of the Obama Administration's greatest accomplishments! As I write this, the Islamist terrorist organization, ISIS, has taken over the northern part of Syria and a third of Iraq and they have established a Caliphate—a new Islamic state.

He recommended that our veterans be given vouchers so they could have access to private healthcare. In light of the current VA scandal, his idea would most likely have saved some lives.

And then there was the infamous moment in one of the debates when Mr. Obama ridiculed Mitt Romney for saying that Russia was "our number one geopolitical foe." Obama was harshly condescending toward Romney, speaking to Romney in a hurtful, personal tone. Obama's main point was that the Cold War was long over and that anyone who would think that Russia was still a geopolitical foe, is just about the dumbest, most naive human alive. Of course as I write this, Russia has long since annexed Crimea—simply taken it from Ukraine—and earlier this week it has once again invaded Ukraine with thousands of armed troops.

Many Americans now realize that when Mitt Romney lost the

election to Obama in 2012, America was the big loser. By all rational standards, Mitt Romney would have made an outstanding President and Commander-in-Chief.

I voted for Mitt Romney because I thought he was the right choice in 2012. Unless something changes, I believe he is the right choice for 2016.

Thus Spake Obama

The incompetence of our neomonarchy

By Mark Steyn — a MacPundit favorite author.

It is a condition of my admission to this great land that I am not allowed to foment the overthrow of the United States government. Oh, I signed it airily enough, but you'd be surprised, as the years go by, how often the urge to foment starts to rise in one's gullet. Fortunately, at least as far as constitutional government goes, the president of the United States is doing a grand job of overthrowing it all by himself.

On Thursday, he passed a new law at a press conference. George III never did that. But, having ordered America's insurance companies to comply with Obamacare, the president announced that he is now ordering them not to comply with Obamacare. The legislative branch (as it's still quaintly known) passed a law purporting to grandfather your existing health plan. The regulatory bureaucracy then interpreted the law so as to un-grandfather your health plan. So His Most Excellent Majesty has commanded that your health plan be de-un-grandfathered. That seems likely to work. The insurance industry had three years to

prepare for the introduction of Obamacare. Now the King has given them six weeks to de-introduce Obamacare.

"I wonder if he has the legal authority to do this," mused former Vermont governor Howard Dean. But he's obviously some kind of rightwing wacko. Later that day, anxious to help him out, Congress offered to "pass" a "law" allowing people to keep their health plans. The same president who had unilaterally commanded that people be allowed to keep their health plans indignantly threatened to veto any such law to that effect: It only counts if he does it — geddit? As his court eunuchs at the Associated Press obligingly put it: "Obama Will Allow Old Plans." It's Barry's world; we just live in it.

The reason for the benign Sovereign's exercise of the Royal Prerogative is that millions of his subjects — or "folks," as he prefers to call us, no fewer than 27 times during his press conference — have had their lives upended by Obamacare. Your traditional hard-core statist, surveying the mountain of human wreckage he has wrought, usually says, "Well, you can't make an omelet without breaking a few eggs." But Obama is the first to order that his omelet be unscrambled and the eggs put back in their original shells. Is this even doable? No. That's the point. When it doesn't work, he'll be able to give another press conference blaming the insurance companies, or the state commissioners, or George W. Bush . . .

The most telling line, the one that encapsulates the gulf between the boundless fantasies of the faculty-lounge utopian and the messiness of reality, was this: "What we're also discovering is that insurance is complicated to buy." Gee, thanks for sharing, genius. Maybe you should have thought of that before you governmentalized one-sixth of the economy. By "we," the president means "I." Out here in the ruder provinces of his decrepit realm, we "folks" are well aware of how complicated insurance is. What isn't complicated in the Sultanate of Sclerosis? But, as with so many other things, Obama always gives the vague impression that routine features of humdrum human existence are entirely alien to him. Marie Antoinette, informed that the peasantry could no longer afford bread, is alleged to have responded, "Let them eat cake." There is no evidence these words ever passed her lips, but

certainly no one ever accused her of saying, "If you like your cake, you can keep your cake," and then having to walk it back with "What we're also discovering is that cake is complicated to buy." That contribution to the annals of monarchical unworldliness had to await the reign of Queen Barry Antoinette, whose powdered wig seems to have slipped over his eyes.

Still, as historian Michael Beschloss pronounced the day after his election, he's "probably the smartest guy ever to become president." Naturally, Obama shares this assessment. As he assured us five years ago, "I know more about policies on any particular issue than my policy directors." Well, apart from his signature health-care policy. That's a mystery to him. "I was not informed directly that the website would not be working," he told us. The buck stops with something called "the executive branch," which is apparently nothing to do with him. As evidence that he was entirely out of the loop, he offered this:

Had I been I informed, I wouldn't be going out saying, "Boy, this is going to be great." You know, I'm accused of a lot of things, but I don't think I'm stupid enough to go around saying, "This is going to be like shopping on Amazon or Travelocity," a week before the website opens, if I thought that it wasn't going to work.

Ooooo-kay. So, if I follow correctly, the smartest president ever is not smart enough to ensure that his website works; he's not smart enough to inquire of others as to whether his website works; he's not smart enough to check that his website works before he goes out and tells people what a great website experience they're in for. But he is smart enough to know that he's not stupid enough to go around bragging about how well it works if he'd already been informed that it doesn't work. So he's smart enough to know that if he'd known what he didn't know he'd know enough not to let it be known that he knew nothing. The country's in the very best of hands.

Michael Beschloss is right: This is what it means to be smart in a neo-monarchical America. Obama spake, and it shall be so. And, if it turns out not to be so, why pick on him? He talks a good Royal

Proclamation; why get hung up on details?

Until October 1, Obama had never done anything — not run a gas station, or a doughnut stand — other than let himself be wafted onward and upward to the next do-nothing gig. Even in his first term, he didn't really do: Starting with the 2009 trillion-dollar stimulus, he ran a money-no-object government that was all money and no objects; he spent and spent, and left no trace. Some things he massively expanded (food stamps, Social Security disability) and other things he massively diminished (effective foreign policy), but all were, so to speak, preexisting conditions. Obamacare is the first thing Obama has actually done, and, if you're the person it's being done to, it's not pretty.

The president promised to "fundamentally transform" America. Certainly, other men have succeeded in transforming settled, free societies: Pierre Trudeau did in Canada four decades ago, and so, in post-war Britain, did the less charismatic Clement Attlee. And, if you subscribe to their particular philosophy, their transformations were effected very efficiently. But Obama is an incompetent, so "fundamentally transformed" is a euphemism for "wrecked beyond repair." As a socialist, he makes a good socialite.

But on he staggers, with a wave of his scepter, delaying this, staying that, exempting the other, according to his regal whim and internal polling. The omniscient beneficent Sovereign will now graciously "allow" us "folks" to keep all those junk plans from bad-apple insurers. Yet even the wisest King cannot reign forever, and what will happen decades down the road were someone less benign — perhaps even (shudder) a Republican — to ascend the throne and wield these mighty powers?

Hey, relax: If you like your constitution, you can keep your constitution. Period. And your existing amendments. Well, most of them — except for the junk ones . . .

- Mark Steyn, a National Review columnist, is the author of After America: Get Ready for Armageddon. © 2013 Mark Steyn