

Obama Was a No-show in Paris

President Obama was a no-show in Paris and he disgraced us all.

Incredibly, President Obama was a no-show in Paris during an historical show of world unity. The streets of Paris were filled with what some said was the largest assembly of people in Paris since its liberation from Nazi Germany in 1944. Others suggested it was the largest mass of humanity in that city's history. Over forty world leaders joined more than two million protesters to express their defiance against the continuing barbaric actions of Islamic terrorists.

There they were—Germany's Prime Minister, the President of France, the brave Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu, the King and Queen of Jordan, England's Prime Minister, the President of Mali, the Palestinian President, and over thirty more world leaders marching arm in arm proclaiming "Enough is enough! Evil shall not triumph!"

It was truly remarkable—a unique moment in the history of mankind. Yet it was even more remarkable because the leader of the most powerful nation in the world—the de facto leader of the free world—was a no-show. Yes, it's true, our president, Barack Obama was not there. Millions of Americans felt ashamed by his absence.

The so-called mainstream media, some of the same people who for six years had been Mr. Obama's apologists, expressed their distaste and sense of deep disappointment for his decision to avoid the Paris event. A CNN headline read: "Where was Obama?"

The cover of the New York Daily News declared: “You let the world down.” On camera, Jake Tapper opined: “I say this as an American – not as a journalist, not as a representative of CNN – but as an American: I was ashamed.”



Colonel Allen West's words were representative of the condemnation from the right.

“As I watched the world leaders gathered in Paris yesterday there was a glaring absence. President Obama used Air Force One to fly to Knoxville to announce free Community College education. I guess that policy far outweighs an act of global unity against Islamo-fascism, jihadism, and terrorism. Needless to say this evidences the priorities of B. Hussein

Obama. If Obama was too busy, then perhaps the Vice President or Secretary of State could have attended the event, heck Benjamin Netanyahu and Mahmoud Abbas made the trip.


The White House insisted that the President's decision to not attend the rally was based on security issues, yet Ed Henry of Fox News reported that a high official at the Secret Service told him that the Obama Administration had never called them about the matter. Security? Who on this planet is a bigger target than Benjamin Netanyahu? Yet he was there, Mr. President.

As to other more plausible reasons, we can only speculate. Among the most obvious is Barack Obama's close association with Islam in his youth and his apparent determination to not offend the practitioners of that religion. To this day, he and his administration refuse to identify Islamic terrorism as Islamic terrorism. While virtually all other free nations of the world call it what it is and the Islamic terrorists themselves tell us who and what they are, our president will not utter the words "Islamic terrorists."

President Obama said, "I want the people of France to know that the United States stands with you today, stands with you tomorrow." Really? Would it not have been more accurate to say that while the people of the United States stand with you, I stand behind you ... way behind you?


Jonathan Gruber

Jonathan Gruber says they had to lie to the stupid American voters.



Jonathan Holmes Gruber is a professor of economics at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT). He is also the director of the Health Care Program at the National Bureau of Economic Research. Despite what some Democrats would now like you to believe, he was a key architect of the Affordable Care Act, also known as "Obamacare." But who he was and who he is now are two entirely different things. Now, because of his unprecedented revelations, he is persona non grata to the Obama Administration and the Democratic Party.

So what has he done to create such a furor? In video 1 we can watch Professor Gruber himself for that answer. Then be sure to watch what Charles Krauthammer had to say about it in video 2.



0/0

This is just one of many revealing Jonathan Gruber videos and,

frankly, I can't think of any good reason to show anymore of them. Personally, I can hardly stomach this one. It's not that anything he said is new news; millions of Americans already know that the process to get Obamacare passed was perhaps the most corrupt of any major legislation in U.S. History. And let's not forget that President Obama's absolute promise that "If you like your healthcare plan you can keep it." – was a blatant lie, which he repeated almost 40 times!

But what *is* new is that Professor Gruber said it at all—and then repeated it multiple times while being videotaped. You know, I am tempted to say that he made an unforgivable political mistake by inadvertently telling the truth, but I think that is incorrect. I think he thinks he is the smartest head in the game and he simply loves to tell the world how he fleeced everyone for their own good. But then deception and arrogance are common traits among our current gang of Washington Liberals. And it all trickles down from the top—Mr. Hubris himself, Barack Obama.

President Obama, members of his administration, and his political operatives are a pack of shameful liars. That is not a matter of opinion, it is indeed a fact. It is well documented on this website and elsewhere. Jonathan Gruber's recently exposed revelations are simply one more confirmation of that fact. As Jonathan Gruber so brutally made clear, they had to lie to us about the true nature of Obamacare in order to get it passed because we the people are too stupid to understand what's good for us. As though that weren't enough, in one of his videos Professor Gruber also said that Americans are too dumb to understand economics. To that, I refer you to this article: Liberal Ignorance—Economics. Believe me, it's worth a read.

Listen, dear people, Obama and his legion of leftwing misfits have failed. Please pardon my all too obvious cliché, but the fat lady has sung and they have not yet heard her. Indeed,

they may never hear her because they seem to have three mouths, no ears, and pre-programmed brains.

A few weeks ago, on November 4, we the people—the very same people that the professor called stupid—made some very big political changes. We told liberal Democrats to get out of our capitols and go home—and we would have sent the president home too if we could have. We told our president, the majority leader of the Senate, state governors, and state legislatures all across the nation that we don't like their kind of big government. Who's stupid now?

Global Warming



President Obama and the Democrats are still chanting their Global Warming mantra!

Here's what the founder of the Weather Channel, John Coleman, had to say about it on November 11, 2007:

"It is the greatest scam in history. I am amazed, appalled and highly offended by it. Global Warming; It is a SCAM. Some dastardly scientists with environmental and political motives manipulated long term scientific data to create an illusion of rapid global warming. Other scientists of the same environmental whacko type jumped into the circle to support and broaden the "research" to further enhance the totally slanted, bogus global warming claims. Their friends in government steered huge research grants their way to keep the movement going. Soon they claimed to be a consensus."

Here's what blogger Robert McKinley had to say about John Coleman's remarks and Global Warming on November 27, 2009:

Those are harsh words; yet, given the source, credible. To be sure, as you will learn, there is much more criticism and direct rebuttal of Al Gore's proselytizing of Global Warming than is likely to reach the general population through traditional media outlets.

Global Warming has been made into a highly-charged political issue mainly by the efforts of a single politician. As a result, millions of people with virtually no scientific expertise on the matter and with the blind fervor of religious zealots, have sworn allegiance to the call. While they march forward to save the earth and all living things from the catastrophic calamity promised by their unapproachable and unaccountable leader, Al Gore, they belittle their "uncaring", "ignorant" detractors who in fact seem to have investigated the subject more than they—if they have investigated it at all.

Further, as is often the case with such things, millions of "Warmers" have become so intellectually rigid and so emotionally attached to their belief in Global Warming that any chance of reasoned discourse with them is about as likely as an actual teleportation to the moon by Mr. Gore. But then our world is becoming less actual every day.

So here is where I stand at the moment: After reading much conflicting material from scientific experts I believe there is nothing that even begins to approach a consensus. Because of this, it is clear to me that Al Gore has grossly misled all of us by repeatedly insisting that "There is a consensus." and that "The debate is over." When his detractors criticize or (Good God!) actually insist on public debate, he simply repeats the mantras—"There is a consensus." "The debate is over." and tries to belittle and embarrass his opponents with specious declarations delivered with the practiced condescension of an arrogant demagogue.

Mr. Gore's horde of Orwellian automatons—the zealots known as

“Warmers”— further attempt to make all opposition look ignorant, uncaring, and foolish—and at all costs—try to stifle criticism and cutoff or avoid debate. The tactics are ageless. Fascists have been using them to maintain their totalitarian states for centuries. But we must expose and hold accountable these self-serving political bullies if we are to avoid straddling our children with unimaginable mountains of debt and leaving them with a nation more akin to a banana republic than the America the world has admired for so long.

Is there a consensus when large portions of the scientific community disagree with Gore’s assertions? Is the debate over when highly respected climatologists call Al Gore a fraud and seek public debate in order to get their views aired? Is this the “Information Age” or the “Misinformation Age”? At the very least, charlatanism is alive and well.

Does this mean that everything Al Gore told us in his book is wrong? No, that would have been too obvious. But given his gross misrepresentations and outright lies it should tell all honest thinkers who are politically unbiased and independent to be particularly suspicious of anything Mr. Gore has to say on the subject. One does not establish his bona fides by grossly misleading his audience about anything—large or small.

Is our planet warming? I don’t know. Some say yes. Some say no. Some say yes and we are causing it. Some say yes but we have nothing to do with it. Many say we simply do not know because we are not yet capable of knowing. And so it goes. But this is certain: It is not static. This we do know because we know that it is always in a state of change. It was that way before we got here and will be that way after we are gone.

I suspect Al Gore knows these things and doesn’t care. Also, it is clear that Al Gore and large numbers of scientists and

businesses have and continue to profit handsomely as a result of spreading the fear of global warming to all the people of planet earth—the very people and the very planet he and they profess to care so much about. I suspect that the full sense of his message is false and that in knowing it is false he has committed an insidiously unconscionable act of mass deception on us all—and, ultimately, one of inestimable cost and suffering to all people on this planet if we do not consider this matter well and are not circumspect and very smart about it in the years to come.

Are there scientists who are legitimate proponents of the man-made global warming model? I think there must be many and I can only hope they are and will continue to have serious, sound scientific dialog with their peers—and that they steer clear of disingenuous politicians.

If we continue to act on Mr. Gore's proclamations without insisting on responsible, unbiased, objective science to guide us, the results could be as costly, if not as catastrophic, as Mr. Gore's debatable and highly suspect predictions. Gore has successfully made political (and profitable) that which is by definition, scientific. This must be changed.

Some final comments: Many, seem to confuse the Gore-style politically-charged issue of Global Warming with common sense considerations regarding the overall health of our planet. So to be clear, it should be self-evident that a clean environment is healthier than a dirty one and that we humans should engage in all appropriate environmental pursuits that will contribute to a clean and healthy mother earth.

If you missed the Time Magazine headlines at the top of this page, take another look. In 1977 they and others warned us about the coming ice age. Oops! And of course whether we have a heat spell or a cold spell, they blame it all on Global

Warming.

What I do know for certain: There is no consensus and the Democrats have made it a vote-getting political issue.

Learn more at [Al Gore](#) and [WMD](#)

Romney Predictions Were Right!

By MacPundit



Obama's were wrong.

It seems like everyone is taking another look at Mitt Romney. His popularity is soaring even among many who voted for him only because they were more certain that Obama was the wrong guy than they were that Romney was the right guy.

So what is this all about? Well, it turns out that Mitt is something of a prophet while Barack still doesn't have a clue. You see, some important Romney predictions and recommendations that were roundly ridiculed by President Obama have since come

true—and the recommendations turned out to be very good ones.

Obama's liberal supporters laughed at any and all Romney predictions and the so-called mainstream media joined in by derisively mocking Romney every chance they got. In case you're wondering, they are all stone-dead silent on Romney these days.

Here are some of the Romney predictions and recommendations and what has happened since then:

He said that the way Obamacare was designed it would have a negative impact on millions of Americans. Do we really need a detailed account of how destructive it has been so far? Millions have lost the healthcare plans they liked even though President Obama promised that they would be able to keep them.

He predicted that if we pulled all of our troops out of Iraq prematurely, the country would be taken over by terrorists. President Obama dismissed this idea and after he had removed every single one of our troops, he bragged that he had ended the war in Iraq. Incredibly, Vice-President Joe Biden claimed that the stability of Iraq would prove to be one of the Obama Administration's greatest accomplishments! As I write this, the Islamist terrorist organization, ISIS, has taken over the northern part of Syria and a third of Iraq and they have established a Caliphate—a new Islamic state.

He recommended that our veterans be given vouchers so they could have access to private healthcare. In light of the current VA scandal, his idea would most likely have saved some lives.

And then there was the infamous moment in one of the debates when Mr. Obama ridiculed Mitt Romney for saying that Russia was "our number one geopolitical foe." Obama was harshly condescending toward Romney, speaking to Romney in a hurtful, personal tone. Obama's main point was that the Cold War was long over and that anyone who would think that Russia was

still a geopolitical foe, is just about the dumbest, most naive human alive. Of course as I write this, Russia has long since annexed Crimea—simply taken it from Ukraine—and earlier this week it has once again invaded Ukraine with thousands of armed troops.

Many Americans now realize that when Mitt Romney lost the election to Obama in 2012, America was the big loser. By all rational standards, Mitt Romney would have made an outstanding President and Commander-in-Chief.

I voted for Mitt Romney because I thought he was the right choice in 2012. Unless something changes, I believe he is the right choice for 2016.